

WAQTC QAC COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

LEADER: Garth Newman, ITD
FACILITATOR: Desna Bergold
RECORDER: Desna Bergold

DATE: MAY 21, 2012
TIME: 8:00 PACIFIC
LOCATION: TELECONFERENCE

MEMBERS:
Garth Newman, ITD Alan Hotchkiss, CDOT
Sean Parker, ODOT Desna Bergold, DB
Wendy Tripp, UDOT Consulting
Linda Hughes, WSDOT
Ryan Hixson, FHWA
Greg Christensen,
AKDOT & PF

Misty Miner, MDOT
Brian Legan, NMDOT

MEETING ITEMS:

1. T 312– from Jan. meeting
2. Volumetric module
3. Style Guide– from Jan. meeting
4. Constant mass progress– from Jan. meeting
5. Scope and Significance sections – from Jan. meeting
6. New PowerPoint – where to go from here? How much by 2012 revision? – tabled until June teleconference
7. cc Desna on all correspondence relating to WAQTC materials

ISSUE	DISCUSSION / DECISION	ACTION REQUIRED BY:
T 312	<p>Discussed Sean Parker's (ODOT) concerns with the draft FOP for T 312:</p> <p>Sean feels that it would be good to include the calculations used to adjust the mass of the sample if the height of the specimen is not 115 mm. Garth Newman (ITD) explained that in the discussion in Reno the group determined when the height is within the tolerance (± 5 mm) the specimen is acceptable it does not need to be adjusted, if the height of the specimen is out of tolerance there is a bigger problem than just adjusting the sample mass and the FOP perhaps shouldn't suggest adjusting the mass without further investigation.</p> <p>Sean also wanted to discuss whether the %G_{mm} calculation in the FOP for T 312 should remain. In Reno the group included the calculation because it is in AASHTO. Sean pointed out that it could introduce confusion as the results are not used in field applications. Perhaps this calculation may better fit in the volumetric section and leave the FOP for T 312 focused on creating the specimen.</p> <p>Perhaps a paragraph should be included under the 'laboratory prepared samples' section describing that they are used for mix designing. This also includes a reference to AASHTO T 312 for these samples. This reference would also cover the calculation.</p> <p><i>Remove the %G_{mm} calculation from the FOP; add paragraph on the use of Laboratory prepared specimens.</i></p> <p><i>Verify the steps in FOP for T 312 follows AASHTO where applicable</i></p> <p><i>Work on PowerPoint to match FOP, send out for review before August meeting</i></p>	Desna Bergold
Volumetric module	<p>The group looked at the draft Wendy Tripp (UDOT) sent. Not everyone has had the time for a thorough review.</p> <p>Wendy was not certain of the direction the group wanted to go. Should this be discussed from a 'Production Day' perspective?</p> <p>Sean suggests the module include a section on the JMF discussing the information that one would have to glean from the Mix Design.</p> <p>Perhaps address the 'life-cycle of a sample' including information on JMF information.</p> <p>Linda Hughes (WsDOT) suggests removing 'Significance' and 'Scope' sections. Revise the verbiage in the Introduction to 'Defining Volumetrics'</p> <p>Linda also recommends that the terms and definitions be in an appendix.</p>	

ISSUE	DISCUSSION / DECISION	ACTION REQUIRED BY:
	<p>Start the FOP with a discussion of how the inputs are obtained, then the calculations, with the definitions in the back, and a supplemental sheet of just the calculations.</p> <p>Garth suggested that this may be better shifted to a standard operating procedure because it just pulls all the information together and then provides the means to get some answers.</p> <p>Wendy would like to have another opportunity to revise the materials based on the input. Desna will assist.</p> <p><i>Everyone will provide feedback on the Short Form by June 1st to Wendy and Desna.</i></p> <p>Wendy also asked Linda for feedback on the PowerPoint Style.</p> <p><i>Linda will send comments to Wendy</i></p> <p>The goal is to have a final draft by the August meeting and the module goes out with the 2012 training materials. Interim meeting June 27nd.</p> <p><i>Wendy and Desna will work together.</i> <i>Linda will set up a GoTo meeting 6/27/12 8:00 am Pacific.</i></p>	<p>All</p> <p>Linda</p> <p>Wendy and Desna Linda</p>
Significance and Scope sections	<p>Looked at proposal from consultant.</p> <p>Discussion of whether to put Significance in the Short Form. Sean expressed concern that it was more information than a technician would need in the field; Greg Christensen (AkDOT) would like to have it in the short form as they do not use the student versions. The Significance will be incorporated into the short forms and the group will determine if it works in August.</p> <p><i>Desna will have the 'Significance' and 'Scope' sections ready for review at the August meeting</i></p>	Desna
Style Guide	<p>Reviewed proposed draft Style Guide. Anyone with anything to add please sent that to Desna</p> <p><i>All agree it's a good start</i></p>	
Constant Mass	<p>Track-changes version with proposals to be ready for discussion at the AASHTO working meeting (Jan. 2013).</p> <p><i>Desna will follow up with those assigned</i></p>	Desna
	<p>Quick reminder to cc consultant on all materials, this will help her keep track of things.</p>	